

Change proposals to INSPIRE application schemas and Technical Guidance documents

Type Document for information and discussion

Creator EC and EEA INSPIRE Team

Date/status/version 01/10/2021

Addressee MIG-T

Identifier MIG-T/67/2021/DOC1

Description List of change proposals submitted to INSPIRE schemas and INSPIRE

Technical Guidance (TG) documents as of October 1, 2021.

actions: MIG-T to:

Analyse the proposals and be ready to vote for/against their approval during

the 67th MIG-T meeting.

1 Change proposal to the INSPIRE artefacts

The work item under <u>Action 2.3 Simplification of INSPIRE implementation</u> of the new <u>INSPIRE MIWP</u> <u>2021-2024</u> aims to define and apply a new governance approach for INSPIRE artefacts. This work is assisted by the newly established sub-group 2.3.1 and the helpdesk facilitators, started with the creation of two new repositories on GitHub to manage change proposals to:

- the INSPIRE schemas: https://github.com/INSPIRE-MIF/application-schemas
- the INSPIRE Technical Guidance (TG) documents: https://github.com/INSPIRE-MIF/technical-guidelines

The governance process for those artefacts, already presented during MIG-T meetings 64 and 65, is explained here for the schemas and here for the TG. According to this process, all the change proposals submitted and approved by the sub-group (together with the helpdesk facilitators) that propose non-trivial changes to the artefacts are moved to the MIG-T for their assessment, while those proposing simple bug-fixes are moved to the INSPIRE Coordination Team (CT) for their approval. After the MIG-T or CT approval, all change proposals are moved to the MIG for their final endorsement (please check the details of the governance process at the links above).

The first <u>set of change proposals</u> was discussed by the MIG-T during MIG-T meeting 66 in July 2021. All the proposals were approved (see the results of the voting <u>here</u>).

During the meeting of the sub-group 2.3.1 held on September 16, 2021, a number of change proposals were discussed, approved and moved to the MIG-T for their assessment. According to the governance process, MIG-T members have to vote on each proposal, expressing their view on whether: i) the proposal can be accepted and moved to the MIG for endorsement; ii) the proposal is to be rejected; or iii) the proposal is sent back to the original proposer because additional information or clarification is needed. The change proposals MIG-T members will vote on during the 67th MIG-T meeting are listed in the table below.

Artefact	Link to GitHub issue	Summary
TG	<u>#2</u>	Modify Requirement 39 of the View Service TG, to separate requirements coming from different Implementing Rules (IR on network services and IR on the interoperability of spatial data sets and services).
TG	<u>#7</u>	Add a recommendation and some informative clarifications in the Metadata TG about the encoding of coordinate reference system identifiers.
TG	#8	Remove footnotes, update Requirements and other text about encoding of code lists in the Metadata TG.
TG	#11	Update Figure 11 in the SO TG to reflect the endorsed change in the SO XML application schema.