

SINGLE-IMPACT FICHE

INTERCROPPING

IMPACT: PESTS AND DISEASES

Data extracted in May 2021

Note to the reader: This fiche summarises the impact of intercropping on PESTS AND DISEASES. It is based on 7 peer-reviewed synthesis research papers¹, each of them including from 11 to 180 individual studies.

1. WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE

- **CONSISTENCY OF THE IMPACT:**

Intercropping of both multiple crop species (i.e., crop mixture cropping) or genotypes (i.e., cultivar mixture cropping), as compared to monoculture or pure stands, resulted in an overall positive effect on pests and diseases (i.e., decrease pests/diseases/weeds). For crop mixture cropping, from a total of 6 results, 5 were positive and 1 showed no-effect (see **Table 1**). For cultivar mixture cropping, from a total of 4 results, 3 were positive and 1 showed no-effect (see **Table 1**). The considered pests are insects, pathogens and weeds.

Among the 7 reviewed synthesis papers, 4 include data collected in Europe (see **Table 2**).

Table 1. Summary of effects. The numbers between parenthesis indicate the number of synthesis papers with a quality score of at least 50%. Details on quality criteria can be found in the next section.

Impact	Intervention	All studies				Only studies including EU			
		Positive	Negative	No effect	Uncertain	Positive	Negative	No effect	Uncertain
Decrease Pests and diseases	Crop mixture	5 (5)	0	1 (1)	0	1 (1)	0	0	0
	Cultivar mixture	3 (3)	0	1 (1)	0	3 (3)	0	1 (1)	0

QUALITY OF THE SYNTHESIS PAPERS: *The quality score summarises 16 criteria assessing the quality of three main aspects of the synthesis papers: 1) the literature search strategy and studies selection; 2) the statistical analysis; 3) the potential bias. Details on quality criteria can be found in the methodology section of this WIKI.*

2. IMPACTS

The main characteristics and results of the synthesis papers are summarized in **Table 2**. Summaries of the meta-analyses provide fuller information about the results reported in each synthesis paper, in particular about the modulation of effects by factors related to soil, climate and management practices.

Table 2. Main characteristics of the synthesis papers reporting impacts of intercropping on pests and diseases.

¹ Research synthesis papers include a formal meta-analysis or systematic reviews with some quantitative results. Details can be found in the methodology section of the WIKI.

Reference	Population	Geographical scale	Num. papers	Intervention	Comparator	Metric	Conclusion	Quality score
Gibson, AK; Nguyen, AE 2021	Multiple crops	Global	55	Crop mixture cropping	Monoculture	Parasitism (bacteria, fungi or viruses)	Cultivar diversification is a sustainable solution for disease control and yield improvement.	94%
Daryanto, S; Fu, BJ; Zhao, WW; Wang, S; Jacinthe, PA; Wang, LX 2020	Grain legumes and cereals	Africa	180	Grain legume and cereal intercropping	Monoculture	Weed biomass, Striga hermonthica emergence, stemborer larvae abundance and stemborer parasitism	Intercropping grain legumes into cereals increased weed and pest control service.	62%
Zhang, CC; Dong, Y; Tang, L; Zheng, Y; Makowski, D; Yu, Y; Zhang, FS; van der Werf, W 2019	Cereals and faba bean	China	17	Crop mixture cropping	Monoculture	Disease incidence	Intercropping has a substantial and consistent effect on disease incidence in cereal/faba bean mixtures across studies, but is not sufficient to provide complete disease control. Intercropping is therefore best used as a component in an integrated approach for managing plant diseases.	69%
Koricheva, J; Hayes, D 2018	Multiple crops	Global	22	Cultivar mixtures	pure stand	Predator abundance, herbivore abundance and damage	The results of the study provide limited support for the suggestion that genotypically diverse cultivar mixtures can be used as an effective pest management tool.	75%
Iverson, AL; Marin, LE; Ennis, KK; Gonthier, DJ; Connor-Barrie, BT; Remfert, JL; Cardinale, BJ; Perfecto, I 2014	Multiple crops	Global	26	Crop mixture cropping	Monoculture	Plant damage, predator abundance and pest abundance	Intercropping had beneficial effects on biocontrol.	88%
Huang, C; Sun, ZY; Wang, HG; Luo, Y; Ma, ZH 2012	Wheat	Global	11	Cultivar mixtures	pure stand	Wheat stripe rust intensity	Using cultivar mixture with different resistance backgrounds is effective in controlling wheat stripe rust.	75%
Letourneau, DK; Ambrecht, I; Rivera, BS; Lerma, JM; Carmona, EJ; Daza, MC; Escobar, S; Galindo, V; Gutierrez, C; Lopez, SD; Mejia, JL; Rangel, AMA; Rangel, JH; Rivera, L; Saavedra, CA; Torres,	Multiple crops	Global	45	Crop mixture cropping	Monoculture	Herbivore abundance, enemy abundance and crop damage	Overall, herbivore suppression, enemy enhancement, and crop damage suppression effects were significantly stronger on diversified crops than on crops with none or fewer associated	88%

Reference	Population	Geographical scale	Num. papers	Intervention	Comparator	Metric	Conclusion	Quality score
AM; Trujillo, AR 2011							plant species.	

3. KNOWLEDGE GAPS

Daryanto et al., 2020	Studies that focus on indigenous African grain legumes or cereals should be encouraged because, with the exception of cowpea and teff, most past studies have focused on non-native species.
Koricheva and Hayes2018	Future studies should 1) manipulate the amount of genetic variance in phenotypic traits, as opposed to just the number of genotypes, 2) explore the potential interactions between plant species and genetic diversity effects on arthropods and 3) focus on the consequences of losses of plant genetic diversity for pollination services, below-ground herbivory and nutrient cycling performed by the soil and litter arthropods.
Iverson et al., 2014	There is the need for a greater investment in researching the underlying relationships between multiple agroecosystem services so we can better achieve agroecosystem multifunctionality.
Letourneau et al., 2011	More research is needed to better discern which schemes deliver the desired results for herbivore suppression and biological control, and what underlying mechanisms can be used to predict the “right kind of diversity” for providing these ecosystem services for pest regulation while maintaining crop yield.