

SINGLE-IMPACT FICHE MANURE PROCESSING TECHNIQUES

IMPACT: ACIDIFICATION (LCA)

Data extracted in July 2021 Fiche created in February 2024

Note to the reader: This fiche summarises the effects of Manure processing techniques on ACIDIFICATION (LCA). It is based on 1 synthesis paper¹ containing 23 primary studies.

1. WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE

CONSISTENCY OF THE IMPACT

Manure processing techniques (namely anaerobic digestion) show variable effects on acidification potential (as calculated in LCA-modelling studies) depending on the considered technique (**Table 1**).

The table below shows the number of synthesis papers with statistical tests reporting i) a significant difference between the Intervention and the Comparator, that is to say, a significant statistical effect, which can be positive or negative; or ii) a non-statistically significant difference between the Intervention and the Comparator. In addition, we include, if any, the number of synthesis papers reporting relevant results but without statistical test of the effects. Details on the quality assessment of the synthesis papers can be found in the methodology section of this WIKI.

- When considering all types of anaerobic digestion together, anaerobic digestion show non-significant effect on acidification potential.
- However, positive effect (decrease of acidification potential) was found for anaerobic digestion of manure alone (mono-digestion) compared to no manure processing.
- The results of anaerobic digestion of manure in combination with other substrates (co-digestion) or coupled to integrated treatment techniques (e.g. filtration, reverse osmosis, microalgae, drying, stripping) were non-statistically test.

The selected synthesis paper included studies conducted in Europe (see Table 2).

Table 1: Summary of effects. Number of synthesis papers reporting positive, negative or non-statistically significant effects on environmental and climate impacts. The number of synthesis papers reporting relevant results but without statistical test of the effects are also provided. When not all the synthesis papers reporting an effect are of high quality, the number of synthesis papers with a quality score of at least 50% is indicated in parentheses. The reference numbers of the synthesis papers reporting each of the effects are provided in **Table 3**. Some synthesis papers may report effects for more than one impact or more than one effect for the same impact.

					Statistically tested		Non-statistically tested
Impact	Metric	Intervention	Comparator	Significantly positive	Significantly negative	Non-significant	,
Decrease acidification (Ica)	Acidification potential (LCA approach)	Anaerobic digestion	Conventional management	1	0	1	1

QUALITY OF THE SYNTHESIS PAPERS

The quality of each synthesis paper was assessed based on 16 criteria regarding three main aspects: 1) the literature search strategy and primary studies selection; 2) the statistical analysis conducted; and 3) the evaluation of potential bias. We assessed whether authors addressed and reported these criteria. Then, a quality score was calculated as the percentage of these 16 criteria properly addressed and reported in each synthesis paper. Details on quality criteria can be found in the methodology section of this WIKI.

2. IMPACTS

The main characteristics and results of the 1 synthesis paper is reported in **Table 2** with the terminology used in those papers, while **Table 3** shows the reference numbers of the synthesis papers reporting for each of the results shown in **Table 1**. Comprehensive information about the results reported in each synthesis paper, in particular about the modulation of effects by factors related to soil, climate and management practices, are provided in the **summaries of the synthesis papers** available in this WIKI.

Reference number	Population	Scale	Num. papers	Intervention	Comparator	Metric	Conclusion	Quality score
Refi	Dairy farm manure	Global	23	1) Anaerobic digestion (general); 2) Anaerobic monodigestion (only manure); 3) Anaerobic mono-digestion (only manure) + integrated treatment techniques (including filtration, reverse osmosis, microalgae, drying, stripping); 4) Anaerobic co-digestion (manure +	No treatment	Acidification potential	Anaerobic monodigestion (only manure) could have a consensus on reducing acidification, while not for other types of anaerobic digestion	62%

 Table 2: Main characteristics of the synthesis paper reporting effects on Acidification (LCA).

¹ Synthesis research papers include either meta-analysis or systematic reviews with quantitative results. Details can be found in the methodology section of the WIKI.

Reference number	Population	Scale	Num. papers	Intervention	Comparator	Metric	Conclusion	Quality score
				other substrates)			processes and integrated treatment techniques.	

Table 3: Reference numbers of the synthesis papers reporting for each of the results shown in Table 1.

	-				Statistically tested		Non-statistically tested
Impact	Metric	Intervention	Comparator	Significantly positive	Significantly negative	Non-significant	,
Decrease acidification (lca)	Acidification potential (LCA approach)	Anaerobic digestion	Conventional management	Refı		Ref1	Ref1

3. FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFECTS ON ACIDIFICATION (LCA)

Table 4: List of factors reported to significantly affect the size and/or direction of the effects on Acidification (LCA), according to the synthesis papers reviewed.

Factor	Reference number
--------	------------------

NA Ref1, Ref1, Ref1, Ref1, Ref1, Ref1, Ref1 and Ref1

4. KNOWLEDGE GAPS

 Table 5: Knowledge gap(s) reported by the authors of the synthesis papers included in this review.

Ref Num Gap

Ref1 It was not possible for the present study on account of huge differences among publications and the lack of key information.

5. SYNTHESIS PAPERS INCLUDED IN THE REVIEW

Table 6: List of synthesis papers included in this review. More details can be found in the summaries of the meta-analyses.

Ref Num	Author(s)	Year	Title	Journal	DOI
Ref1	Zhang J., Wang M., Yin C., Dogot T.	2021	The potential of dairy manure and sewage management pathways towards a circular economy: A meta- analysis from the life cycle perspective	Sci. Total Environ. 779, 146396.	10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146396

2

Disclaimer: These fiches present a large amount of scientific knowledge synthesised to assess farming practices impacts on the environment, climate and productivity. The European Commission maintains this WIKI to enhance public access to information about its initiatives. Our goal is to keep this information timely and accurate. If errors are brought to our attention, we will try to correct them. However, the Commission accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever with regard to the information on these fiches and WIKI.

3