

Reference 8

England, JR; OGrady, AP; Fleming, A; Marais, Z; Mendham, D 2020 Trees on farms to support natural capital: An evidence-based review for grazed dairy systems SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT, 704, 135345. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135345

Background and objective

Understanding and quantifying the ecosystem services and benefits provided by integrating trees into grazed dairy farming systems would allow their incorporation into farm planning and decision-making. It may also help to incentivise the protection/enhancement of natural capital. However, the status of the current evidence base is unclear. The aim was to review the relevant literature using an evidence-based or systematic review approach to assess the evidence for the services and benefits and/or disbenefits to dairy enterprises provided by different systems of woody vegetation on farms.

Search strategy and selection criteria

The review approach consisted of three stages: i) the generation of keywords, (ii) a systematic search, and (iii) collation of the data. Keywords were generated based on the results of an initial survey of existing reviews and other material such as extension notes, 'fact sheets', etc. which identified a number of potential key ecosystem services, benefits and disbenefits from trees for dairy enterprises. Keywords specific to each ecosystem service and woody system were selected. Literature was searched (to January 2019) using the database 'ISI Web of Science' (Clarivate Analytics). Different combinations of the following search terms were used to find references containing relevant information: dairy OR cattle AND tree OR forest* AND agroforestry, "shelterbelt", "shelter belt", "windbreak", "wind break", "tree belt", "tree planting", "alley farm", *silvopast*, plantation, "farm forestry", revegetation, reforestation, riparian, "ecosystem service", shade, shelter, "heat stress", erosion, "soil stability", biodiversity, "pasture production", "water quality", "carbon sequest*", forage, wood, or timber. Additional references were also identified by searching the reference lists of relevant papers and reviews for secondary references which may be of interest (termed 'snowballing'). Only publicly-available literature (books, journals, conference proceedings, published reports, and theses) were included in this analysis. Unpublished data were not included because they are generally inaccessible to other researchers, cannot be fully critically analyzed, and the methods used are often difficult to verify. 1) Studies reported on biophysical aspects of on-farm woody vegetation associated with dairy enterprises – this included studies that were specific to dairy only, mixed dairy and beef enterprises; 2) Relevant data on at least one provisioning or regulating and maintenance ecosystem service and associated benefit or disbenefit were reported. These included animal production/health, pasture production/quality, wood production, biodiversity, water quality, soil quality or function, carbon sequestration and/or erosion; 3) An appropriate comparison with the non-revegetated agricultural land use (i.e. grazed dairy pasture) was reported.

Data and analysis

Three variables summarising the effect of each woody system type on ecosystem services were calculated: (i) the level of support given – this reflects the number of publications providing the same evidence for a particular effect; (ii) the strength of evidence (SOE) – an average ranking of the strength of evidence for the particular effect ranging from 1 (very weak) to 5 (very strong); and (iii) the predominant direction of the evidence for the relationship.

Number of papers	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Quality score
83	Grazed dairy systems	Vegetation remnants	Grazed dairy pasture without trees	Metric: Soil slope erosion; Effect size: Not applicable	37.5

Results

- Most of the records focussed on remnant native vegetation on reducing shallow landsliding relative to pasture in the soft-rock hill country of New Zealand, with the causal relationships having high or medium confidence.
- In these studies the type of livestock was generally not specified, and dairy is likely to be a relatively small component of the regions studied.

Factors influencing effect sizes

- No factors influencing effect sizes to report

Conclusion

The effects reported are generally positive. Reviewers' note: We labelled the results as uncertain due to the lack of statistical testing.