# SINGLE-IMPACT FICHE LANDSCAPE FEATURES

## **IMPACT: POLLINATION**

Data extracted in October 2021

**Note to the reader**: This fiche summarises the impact of three landscape features (field margins, flower strips and hedgerows<sup>1</sup>) on POLLINATION. It is based on 6 peer-reviewed synthesis research papers<sup>2</sup>, including from 29 to 71 individual studies.

#### 1. WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE

CONSISTENCY OF THE IMPACT:

The effect on pollination differs among landscape features (see **Table 1**):

- Field margins have a positive effect on pollination (i.e. increase of pollination) compared to cropland or grassland without field margins, according to the 3 synthesis papers reviewed.
- <u>Flower strips</u> have a positive effect on local pollinator abundance compared to cropland or grassland without flower strips and in the abundance and richness of pollinators in the flower strips themselves, according to 3 synthesis papers review. While flower strips have no effect on pollinators abundance and pollination services in the crops, according to 3 synthesis papers.
- <u>Hedgerows</u> have no effect on crop pollination compared to cropland without hedgerows, according to 1 synthesis paper reviewed.

The 6 reviewed synthesis papers include data collected in Europe (see **Table 2**).

**Table 1.** Summary of effects. The numbers between parentheses indicate the number of synthesis papers with a quality score of at least 50%. Details on quality criteria can be found in the next section. Two synthesis papers reported more than one effect for flower strips and some synthesis papers reported effects for more than one landscape feature.

| Impact               | Intervention  | Positive | Negative | No effect | Uncertain |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                      | Field margins | 3 (3)    | 0        | 0         | 0         |
| Increase pollination | Flower strips | 3 (3)    | 0        | 3 (3)     | 0         |
|                      | Hedgerows     | 0        | 0        | 1 (1)     | 0         |

QUALITY OF THE SYNTHESIS PAPERS: The quality score summarises 16 criteria assessing the quality of three main aspects of the synthesis papers: 1) the literature search strategy and studies selection; 2) the statistical analysis; 3) the potential bias. Details on quality criteria can be found in the methodology section of this WIKI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Described in the General Fiche.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Research synthesis papers include a formal meta-analysis or systematic reviews with some quantitative results. Details can be found in the methodology section of the WIKI.

### 2. IMPACTS

The main characteristics and results of the synthesis papers are summarised in **Table 2**. Summaries of the metaanalyses provide fuller information about the results reported in each synthesis paper, in particular about the modulation of effects by factors related to soil, climate and management practices.

**Table 2.** Main characteristics of the synthesis papers reporting impacts of landscape features on pollination. The references are ordered chronologically with the most recent publication date first.

| Reference   | Population                     | Scale   | Num.<br>papers | Intervention  | Comparator  | Metric  | Conclusion  | Quality score |
|---|--------------------------------|---|----------------|---|---|---|---|---------------|
| Lowe, EB;<br>Groves, R;<br>Gratton, C 2021  | Flower crops                   | Global  | 29             | Field-edge<br>flower<br>plantings<br>(flower strips)  | Unplanted,<br>unmanaged field<br>edges; unplanted,<br>managed field<br>edges (e.g.,<br>herbicide or<br>mowing); grass<br>strips; bare<br>ground; and crop<br>fields with no<br>edge | Pollinator<br>abundance<br>and<br>richness in<br>the field-<br>edge<br>flower<br>plantings;<br>Pollinator<br>abundance<br>and<br>richness in<br>the crops | Results suggest that field-edge flower plantings are highly effective at increasing pollinator richness and abundance in field edges and that plantings become more effective as they mature. However, the influence of field-edge plantings on crop pollination is inconsistent. | 88%           |
| Albrecht, M; Kleijn, D; Williams, NM; Tschumi, M; Blaauw, BR; Bommarco, R; Campbell, AJ; Dainese, M; Drummond, FA; Entling, MH; Ganser, D | Cropland                       | North<br>America,<br>Europe,<br>New<br>Zeland | 35             | 1) Flower strips;<br>2) Hedgerows   | No flower strips;<br>2) No Hedgerows  | Crop<br>pollination<br>service  | This synthesis reveals inconsistent and highly variable effects of flower strips and hedgerows on crop pollination services.  | 62%           |
| Zamorano, J;<br>Bartomeus, I;<br>Grez, AA;<br>Garibaldi, LA<br>2020   | Croplands<br>and<br>grasslands | Northern<br>hemisph<br>ere                    | 40             | Sites with field<br>margin floral<br>enhancement<br>(flower strips)   | Sites without field<br>margin floral<br>enhancement   | Abundance<br>and<br>richness of<br>pollinators  | Overall, the field margin floral enhancements increased the abundance and richness of pollinators at the field edge but had no consistent effect in the interior of the crop fields.  | 81%           |
| Marja, R; Kleijn,<br>D; Tscharntke,<br>T; Klein, AM;<br>Frank, T;<br>Batáry, P 2019   | Croplands<br>and<br>grasslands | Europe  | 62             | Agri-<br>environmental<br>management<br>schemes<br>(hedges, field<br>margins and set<br>aside lands)<br>(field margins)         | No agri-<br>environmental<br>management<br>schemes (usually<br>conventional<br>farming)   | Pollinators<br>species<br>richness  | This study shows that pollinator species richness benefitted from Agrienvironmental management schemes.   | 81%           |
| Scheper, J;<br>Holzschuh, A;<br>Kuussaari, M;<br>Potts, SG;<br>Rundlf, M;<br>Smith, HG;<br>Kleijn, D 2013                                 | Croplands<br>and<br>grasslands | Europe  | 71             | Sites with agri- environmental measures including 1) sown flower strip; 2) grass- sown or naturally regenerated field margin or | Conventionally<br>managed control<br>sites  | Abundance<br>and<br>richness of<br>pollinators  | This study shows that agri-environmental measures generally enhance local pollinator species richness and abundance in agroecosystems.  | 69%           |

| Reference  | Population   | Scale  | Num.<br>papers | Intervention   | Comparator                         | Metric   | Conclusion   | Quality score |
|--|--|--------|----------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|---------------|
|  |  |        |                | set-aside)   |                                    |  |  |               |
| Shackelford, G;<br>Steward, PR;<br>Benton, TG;<br>Kunin, WE;<br>Potts, SG;<br>Biesmeijer, JC;<br>Sait, SM 2013 | Fields,<br>orchards, and<br>vineyards of<br>food crops | Global | 46             | High compositional complexity (proximity or diversity of non-crop plants in margins of food crops) (field margins) | Low<br>compositional<br>complexity | Abundance<br>and<br>richness of<br>pollinators | Some pollinators and natural enemies seem to have compatible responses to complexity, and it might be possible to manage agroecosystems for the benefit of both. | 81%           |

# 3. KNOWLEDGE GAPS

| Lowe et al., 2021        | Critical gaps in our knowledge of when and how plantings can improve ecosystem service provision and delivery. Longer-duration studies would help to determine if field-edge plantings can influence pollinator population growth and may clarify how plantings improve crop pollination, while further research on landscape context and crop type may define when this happens. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Zamorano et al., 2020    | Authors detected a bias in publications studying the impact of field margins on biodiversity at the edge of the crop primarily with positive effect sizes and larger standard errors (i.e. low sample size).  |
| Marja et al., 2019       | There was a geographical bias in the dataset, as most studies originated from Western or Northern Europe.   |
| Shackelford et al., 2013 | The authors identified the interactions between pollinators and natural enemies and their interacting effects on crop productivity as knowledge gaps.   |