

### IMPACT: CROP YIELD

Data extracted in February 2021  
Fiche created in May 2024

**Note to the reader:** This fiche summarises the effects of Soil amendment with biochar on CROP YIELD. It is based on 11 synthesis papers<sup>1</sup>, including from 5 to 208 primary studies.

## 1. WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE

### CONSISTENCY OF THE IMPACT

Soil amendment with biochar, compared to no-amendment, generally shows significant positive effect on crop yield (i.e. increase in crop yield) (**Table 1**).

The table below shows the number of synthesis papers with statistical tests reporting i) a significant difference between the Intervention and the Comparator, that is to say, a significant statistical effect, which can be positive or negative; or ii) a non-statistically significant difference between the Intervention and the Comparator. In addition, we include, if any, the number of synthesis papers reporting relevant results but without statistical test of the effects. Details on the quality assessment of the synthesis papers can be found in the methodology section of this WIKI.

- Out of 13 results, 10 were positive (increase in crop yield), 1 was negative and 2 showed non-significant effect.
- However, the results are affected by several factors, including biochar properties and soil properties. For example, the positive effects are more frequently reported in nutrient-poor and acidic soils, whereas the negative effect was reported for temperate pedo-climates, neutral and nutrients-rich soils.

Out of the 11 selected synthesis papers, 7 included studies conducted in Europe (see **Table 2**).

**Table 1:** Summary of effects. Number of synthesis papers reporting positive, negative or non-statistically significant effects on environmental and climate impacts. The number of synthesis papers reporting relevant results but without statistical test of the effects are also provided. When not all the synthesis papers reporting an effect are of high quality, the number of synthesis papers with a quality score of at least 50% is indicated in parentheses. The reference numbers of the synthesis papers reporting each of the effects are provided in **Table 3**. Some synthesis papers may report effects for more than one impact or more than one effect for the same impact.

| Impact              | Metric     | Intervention                | Comparator   | Statistically tested   |                        |                 | Non-statistically tested |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|                     |            |                             |              | Significantly positive | Significantly negative | Non-significant |                          |
| Increase crop yield | Crop yield | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | 10                     | 1                      | 2               | 0                        |

### QUALITY OF THE SYNTHESIS PAPERS

The quality of each synthesis paper was assessed based on 16 criteria regarding three main aspects: 1) the literature search strategy and primary studies selection; 2) the statistical analysis conducted; and 3) the evaluation of potential bias. We assessed whether authors addressed and reported these criteria. Then, a quality score was calculated as the percentage of these 16 criteria properly addressed and reported in each synthesis paper. Details on quality criteria can be found in the methodology section of this WIKI.

## 2. IMPACTS

The main characteristics and results of the 11 synthesis papers are reported in **Table 2** with the terminology used in those papers, while **Table 3** shows the reference numbers of the synthesis papers reporting for each of the results shown in **Table 1**. Comprehensive information about the results reported in each synthesis paper, in particular about the modulation of effects by factors related to soil, climate and management practices, are provided in the **summaries of the synthesis papers** available in this WIKI.

**Table 2:** Main characteristics of the synthesis papers reporting effects on crop yield. The references are ordered chronologically with the most recent publication date first.

| Reference number | Population                             | Scale  | Num. papers | Intervention                | Comparator   | Metric  | Conclusion   | Quality score |
|------------------|--|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|
| Ref3             | Rice paddy fields in Subtropical China | China  | 74          | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield  | Biochar significantly increases rice yield.  | 75%           |
| Ref6             | Not specified                          | Global | 153         | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield metrics: Total biomass, grain yield, aboveground biomass (shoot biomass) and/or underground biomass (root) | The meta-analysis in the present study showed that the grand effect of biochar on plant productivity was estimated to be $16.0 \pm 1.26\%$ , regardless of biochar properties and soil conditions. However, the efficiency of biochar in improving plant growth could be greatly affected by the combined effect | 69%           |

<sup>1</sup> Synthesis research papers include either meta-analysis or systematic reviews with quantitative results. Details can be found in the methodology section of the WIKI.

| Reference number | Population  | Scale  | Num. papers | Intervention                | Comparator   | Metric  | Conclusion   | Quality score |
|------------------|---|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|
|                  |   |        |             |                             |              | biomass)  | of biochar properties and soil conditions.   |               |
| Ref9             | Greenhouse vegetables                                   | China  | 5           | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield  | Biochar application to greenhouse vegetables tends to increase, but non-significantly, crop yield.   | 75%           |
| Ref10            | Not specified   | Global | 74          | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | 1) Total biomass (TB); 2) shoot biomass (SB); 3) Crop yield (CY); 4) plant height (PH); 5) and leaf area (LA) | Plant total biomass, shoot biomass, and root biomass increased by 25.4%, 22.1%, and 34.4%, respectively.   | 81%           |
| Ref15            | Biochar obtained from crop residues or straw            | Global | 129         | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield  | With biochar application, the overall crop yield significantly increased.  | 62%           |
| Ref21            | Rice-wheat/corn rotation systems                        | Global | 60          | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Grain yield   | Biochar application appeared to be a good strategy to increase the yield in fertilized soils over a long period on a global scale.   | 88%           |
| Ref22            | Rice cultivation  | Global | 13          | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield  | Biochar application resulted in a significant increase by 5.7% ( $P < 0.05$ ) in rice yield.   | 88%           |
| Ref23            | Column, pot and field experiments on rice (paddy soils) | Global | 40          | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Rice yield  | Application of biochars derived from all feedstocks at pyrolysis temperatures of 450–500°C showed significant positive changes in rice grain yield.  | 56%           |
| Ref27            | Not specified   | Global | 208         | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Plant biomass   | Biochar significantly increases plant biomass. Manure biochar particularly high potential for benefiting plant growth.   | 69%           |
| Ref31            | Temperate and Tropical soil                             | Global | 111         | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield  | Overall, biochar increased crop yield by a grand mean of 13%. However, biochar has, on average, negative or no effect (for field tests only) on crop yield in temperate latitudes, yet elicits a 25% average increase in yield in the tropics. The results show that biochar can be a useful tool to improve crop yield in nutrient-poor and acidic soils. | 81%           |
| Ref37            | Woody plants in forest restoration land                 | Global | 17          | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Crop yield  | The meta-analysis on biochar responses of woody plants indicates a potential for large tree growth responses to biochar additions, with a mean 41% increase in biomass. Responses are especially pronounced at early growth stages, and appear to be higher in boreal and tropical than in temperate systems, and in angiosperms than conifers.            | 50%           |

**Table 3:** Reference numbers of the synthesis papers reporting for each of the results shown in Table 1.

| Impact              | Metric     | Intervention                | Comparator   | Statistically tested  |                        |                 | Non-statistically tested |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|                     |            |                             |              | Significantly positive  | Significantly negative | Non-significant |                          |
| Increase crop yield | Crop yield | Soil amendment with biochar | No amendment | Ref3, Ref6, Ref10, Ref15, Ref21, Ref22, Ref23, Ref27, Ref31 and Ref37 | Ref31                  | Ref9 and Ref31  |                          |

### 3. FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFECTS ON CROP YIELD

**Table 4:** List of factors reported to significantly affect the size and/or direction of the effects on crop yield, according to the synthesis papers reviewed.

| Factor  | Reference number   |
|---|--|
| Biochar application rate                      | Ref27  |
| Biochar ash content                           | Ref6   |
| Biochar C/N ratio                             | Ref21  |
| Biochar Cation exchange capacity              | Ref6   |
| Biochar nutrients content                     | Ref31  |
| Biochar pH                                    | Ref6   |
| Biochar total carbon and total organic carbon | Ref6   |
| Crop type                                     | Ref10  |
| N fertilisation rate                          | Ref21  |
| NA  | Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref3, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref9, Ref10, Ref10, Ref10, Ref10, Ref10, Ref10, Ref15, Ref15, Ref15, Ref15, Ref15, Ref15, Ref15, Ref21, Ref21, Ref21, Ref21, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref22, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref23, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref27, Ref31, Ref31, Ref31, Ref31, Ref31, Ref31, Ref37, Ref37, Ref37, Ref37, Ref37, Ref37 |
| Pedo-climatic zone                            | Ref31  |
| Soil C/N ratio and Soil organic carbon        | Ref6   |

| Factor                        | Reference number             |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Soil cation exchange capacity | Ref27                        |
| Soil pH                       | Ref6, Ref23, Ref27 and Ref31 |
| Soil texture                  | Ref6, Ref10 and Ref27        |
| Soil total nitrogen           | Ref6                         |
| Soil type                     | Ref21                        |
| Time of                       | Ref37                        |
| Time scale                    | Ref21                        |
| Tree species                  | Ref37                        |
| Type of experiment            | Ref37                        |

## 4. KNOWLEDGE GAPS

**Table 5:** Knowledge gap(s) reported by the authors of the synthesis papers included in this review.

| Ref Num | Gap   |
|---------|---|
| Ref10   | A lack of long-term field experiments, especially those conducted in the Southern Hemisphere, may hamper our evaluation of ecosystem structure and functioning, including photosynthesis and plant productivity, in response to biochar amendment over a larger timescale.  |
| Ref15   | Although the short-term effect of biochar on soil GHG emissions and crop yield was analyzed, the sustainability of biochar for long-term application needs further research. Long-term trials, particularly under field conditions, are required to investigate the impact of biochar on reducing GHGI.                                   |
| Ref27   | The biochar effects synthesized in the current paper are mainly derived from experiments characterized by single-dose designs and relatively short-term time scales (months to a few years). Biochar effects with respect to longer-term and repetitive additions require further evaluation with future more relevant experimental data. |
| Ref37   | Given the high heterogeneity in properties of chars and soils, it is critical that future studies provide more comprehensive details on properties of chars used, and the recent promulgation of characterization guidelines should assist in this regard.  |

## 5. SYNTHESIS PAPERS INCLUDED IN THE REVIEW

**Table 6:** List of synthesis papers included in this review. More details can be found in the summaries of the meta-analyses.

| Ref Num | Author(s)   | Year | Title  | Journal                          | DOI                             |
|---------|---|------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ref3    | Liu L, Li H, Zhu S, Gao Y, Zheng X, Xu Y.   | 2021 | The response of agronomic characters and rice yield to organic fertilization in subtropical China: A three-level meta-analysis       | F Crop Res. 263:108049.          | 10.1016/j.fcr.2020.108049       |
| Ref6    | Dai, YH; Zheng, H; Jiang, ZX; Xing, BS  | 2020 | Combined effects of biochar properties and soil conditions on plant growth: A meta-analysis  | Sci Total Environ. 713:136635.   | 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136635 |
| Ref9    | Gu, JX; Wu, YY; Tian, ZY; Xu, HH  | 2020 | Nitrogen use efficiency, crop water productivity and nitrous oxide emissions from Chinese greenhouse vegetables: A meta-analysis     | Sci Total Environ. 743:140696.   | 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140696 |
| Ref10   | He, YH; Yao, YX; Ji, YH; Deng, J; Zhou, GY; Liu, RQ; Shao, JJ; Zhou, LY; Li, N; Zhou, XH; Bai, SH       | 2020 | Biochar amendment boosts photosynthesis and biomass in C(3)but not C(4)plants: A global synthesis                                    | GCB Bioenergy 12:605–17          | 10.1111/gcbb.12720              |
| Ref15   | Zhang, Q; Xiao, J; Xue, JH; Zhang, L  | 2020 | Quantifying the Effects of Biochar Application on Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Agricultural Soils: A Global Meta-Analysis           | Sustainability 12:3436. .        | 10.3390/su12083436              |
| Ref21   | Wu, Z; Zhang, X; Dong, YB; Li, B; Xiong, ZQ   | 2019 | Biochar amendment reduced greenhouse gas intensities in the rice-wheat rotation system: six-year field observation and meta-analysis | Agric For Meteorol. 278:107625.  | 10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107625 |
| Ref22   | Zhao, X; Pu, C; Ma, ST; Liu, SL; Xue, JF; Wang, X; Wang, YQ; Li, SS; Lal, R; Chen, F; Zhang, HL         | 2019 | Management-induced greenhouse gases emission mitigation in global rice production  | Sci Total Environ. 649:1299–306. | 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.392 |
| Ref23   | Awad, YM; Wang, JY; Igalavithana, AD; Tsang, DCW; Kim, KH; Lee, SS; Ok, YS                              | 2018 | Biochar Effects on Rice Paddy: Meta-analysis   | Adv. Agron. 148                  | 10.1016/bs.agron.2017.11.005    |
| Ref27   | Liu, Q; Zhang, YH; Liu, BJ; Amonette, JE; Lin, ZB; Liu, G; Ambus, P; Xie, ZB                            | 2018 | How does biochar influence soil N cycle? A meta-analysis   | Plant Soil 426:211–25            | 10.1007/s11104-018-3619-4       |
| Ref31   | Jeffery, S; Abalos, D; Prodana, M; Bastos, AC; van Groenigen, JW; Hungate, BA; Verheijen, F             | 2017 | Biochar boosts tropical but not temperate crop yields  | Environ. Res. Lett. 053001.      | 10.1088/1748-9326/aa67bd        |
| Ref37   | Thomas SC, Gale N. Biochar and forest restoration: a review and meta-analysis of tree growth responses. | 2015 | Biochar and forest restoration: a review and meta-analysis of tree growth responses.   | New For 46:931–46.               | 10.1007/s11056-015-9491-7       |



---

**Disclaimer:** These fiches present a large amount of scientific knowledge synthesised to assess farming practices impacts on the environment, climate and productivity. The European Commission maintains this WIKI to enhance public access to information about its initiatives. Our goal is to keep this information timely and accurate. If errors are brought to our attention, we will try to correct them. However, the Commission accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever with regard to the information on these fiches and WIKI.

---