

Reference 27

Tuomisto HL; Hodge ID; Riordana P; Macdonald DW 2012 Does organic farming reduce environmental impacts? – A meta-analysis of European research. *Journal of Environmental Management* 112, 309-320 10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.08.018

Background and objective

Organic farming is often perceived to have generally beneficial impacts on the environment compared to conventional farming. A meta-analysis was used to evaluate the results of peer-reviewed studies comparing the nutrient losses, biodiversity impacts, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, eutrophication potential, acidification potential, energy use and land use in organic and conventional farming systems in Europe. Here, only results on yields are reported.

Search strategy and selection criteria

The following search term combinations were used: (organic AND conventional AND farming) OR (organic AND conventional AND agriculture). The preliminary search was refined to the subject areas "agriculture", "plant sciences", "environmental sciences & ecology" and "biodiversity & conservation" 1)the study was related to European farming systems, 2) the study compared organic and conventional farming and provided quantitative results on at least one of the following aspects: soil organic carbon, land use, energy use, GHG emissions, eutrophication potential, acidification potential, nitrogen leaching, phosphorus losses, ammonia emissions or biodiversity, and 3) the paper was published in a scientific peer-reviewed journal. All types of studies (i.e. original field investigations, modelling studies and Life Cycle Assessment studies) were included in the study.

Data and analysis

The median values of the response ratios for each impact category were calculated. The normality of the data was tested by using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Not all impact ratios were normally distributed, therefore a Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used to determine whether the median impact ratios were significantly different from zero. The correlations between some farming practices and environmental impacts were examined using the Spearman Rank test. SPSS 14.0 software was used for the statistical analyses.

Number of papers	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Quality score
71	Field studies, modelling studies and Life Cycle Assessment studies assessing the performance of organic systems in comparison to conventional systems in Europe.	Organic systems	Conventional systems	Metric: Crop yield; Effect size: Considered metrics: yield of products (per unit of land). Effect sizes: standardized difference of the considered metrics between organic systems and conventional systems.	68.75

Results

- The average organic yields over all crops in the data were 75% (SD ± 17%) of conventional yields. However, no statistical analysis was performed and the results are set as uncertain.
- NULL
- NULL
- NULL
- NULL

Factors influencing effect sizes

- No factors influencing effect sizes to report

Conclusion

This meta-analysis has showed that organic farming in Europe has generally significantly lower yields (-25% in average). However, no comprehensive statistical analysis was performed and the results are set as uncertain.