


**Session 4 Q#1.a - Does the new 'harvesting console' shown during the demo address your needs?**

Score: 4.1



**Session 4 Q#1.b - If you think the 'harvesting console' is missing some functionalities, please indicate them.**

(1/2)

- I don't know yet.
- An API is needed for integration in other processes (e.g. monitoring)
- An API to automatically trigger the harvesting, receive the report, and trigger the push to production.
- don`t know yet
- access to the console for more than one person (e.g. during absence of responsible person/organisation)
- W will be able to say more after we test the new tool
- For time being no, but we will see more as soon we will be able to get in ;o)
- We will need to probe it to be able to give an opinion
- Still not sure.
- I think we should pick up a sample of records in

Session 4 - Harvesting process (2/5)

0 1 1

**Session 4 Q#1.b - If you think the 'harvesting console' is missing some functionalities, please indicate them.**

(2/2)

order to test some ways of implementation before harvesting all records

- scheduling option decide by user complete report of all issues identified

**Session 4 Q#2.a - Should we still provide the option to regularly harvest your metadata automatically?**

No, there is no need anymore.



Yes.



**Session 4 Q#2.b - If you replied that there is still a need for regularly harvesting, indicate the optimal frequency:**

Bi-weekly



Monthly



Quarterly



Annually



**Session 4 Q#3 - Select from the following list the two proposals that you think should be implemented in the harvesting process:**

Accept spatial object types from INSPIRE extensions



Accept metadata version 2.0



Improve WCS support



Improve big data sets support



Incremental harvesting

