

(Re-)organising MIG-T

Michael Lutz

MIG-T meeting #60 Prague
15-16 October 2019

Joint Research Centre

The European Commission's science and knowledge service



Lessons learnt

- MIG-T face-to-face meetings
 - work reasonably well to prepare proposals for MIG meeting
 - largely driven by preparations by the EC+EEA
 - little input / preparation by MS representatives (this meeting is somewhat an exception)
- MIG-T virtual meetings
 - largely one-way information EC+EEA → MS
 - very little discussion

Lessons learnt

- Dedicated meetings, workshops and webinars
 - attract wider audience of practitioners in the MS
 - often interesting feedback and discussions
 - Sometimes difficult to attract appropriate/knowledgeable participants
- MIG-T ad-hoc actions
 - depend a lot on the motivation and availability of action leads
 - little progress on SDW actions

Proposal

- Keep face-to-face meetings twice per year (Apr + Oct)
 - continue “Prague” approach of inviting MS presentations
 - focus on a few selected topics and prepare them well (all participants)
 - prepare input and proposals for MIG meetings in Nov/Dec and Jun
- Reduce virtual meetings to two per year (Dec and Jun)
 - 1-2 weeks after the MIG meeting
 - extend to 180 min, with 2 blocks
 - Information sharing: status of on-going actions, standardisation etc.
 - In-depth presentation and discussion of a dedicated issue, ideally raised by one or several MS

Proposal

- Keep organising dedicated meetings, workshops and webinars – on proposal/request by MS
 - to discuss specific topics and collect feedback from practitioners in the MS, e.g. metadata/catalogues, validation, OGC API, ...
 - to be organised as needed
 - how to better reach out to practitioners?
- MIG-T ad-hoc actions
 - the concept does not seem to work
 - replace by short and dedicated MIWP actions?



Any questions?

You can find me at [@michellutz](#) & michael.lutz@ec.europa.mail.me