


**How much do you agree to the following statement? The current TG requirements and recommendations for data-service linking are difficult to understand and**

0 2 0


Score: 4.2



**How much do you agree to the following statement? The focus of INSPIRE metadata should mainly be on data and how they can be accessed through services (rather**

0 2 4


Score: 3.9



**How much do you agree to the following statement? It should be possible to search in the INSPIRE infrastructure / geoportal directly for network services (rather than**

0 2 6


Score: 3.4



**How much do you agree to the following statement? The extensions required by INSPIRE for network services are a serious obstacle for providing INSPIRE-compliant**

0 2 6


Score: 3.8



**How much do you agree to the following statement? There is a large overlap/duplication between data set and service metadata**

0 2 5


Score: 4.1



**How much do you agree to the following statement? Conditions on access and use and limitations to public access are often different for data and services.**

0 2 6


Score: 2.9



**How much do you agree with the proposal to document the data-service link in the data set metadata (resource locator) rather than (as defined in the TGs now) in**

0 2 5


Score: 4.1



**How much do you agree with the proposal to no longer have stand-alone service metadata (provided through the discovery service) but only the metadata provided**

0 2 2

Score: 4.2





**How much do you agree with the proposal to limit service metadata to metadata elements that do not duplicate data set metadata and are useful to users, in order**

0 2 4

Score: 4.5

