



MIG mini-workshop Harmonised vs As-Is

13th Meeting of the MIG

17 June 2021, online meeting

Participation


- 16 countries participated to the survey

Belgium – Poland – Portugal – Sweden - Germany – Netherlands – Slovenia - Liechtenstein


Slovak Republic – Czechia – Denmark – Spain - Luxembourg – Greece – Croatia - Austria

Many thanks for your contribution!


Does the presence of both as-is and harmonized data negatively impact the INSPIRE indicator for data conformance (DSi2.x family of indicators) in your country?




Are you planning on limiting your INSPIRE offering or have you already limited your offering to prioritised data sets only?




Are you planning on limiting your INSPIRE offering to harmonized data sets only?




Is a low value for the DSI2.x indicators (conformity of spatial data sets) an important driver for limiting your offering?




Would you make more as-is data available if this data would not be included in a data conformity assessment?




Would you support limiting data harmonisation efforts to clearly identified and prioritised as-is data sets (to be further developed under MIWP Actions 2.1 and 2.2)?




Would you support using the priority data set metadata keyword to select data sets for future calculation of DSi2.x indicators?




Would you support describing as-is and harmonized versions of a spatial data set through a single metadata record?



INSPIRE metadata already allows to declare conformity to different specifications. Would you support the possibility of optionally declaring conformity to all applicable specifications in metadata e.g. conformity with: national specifications



Are there any other monitoring conformity indicators that clearly have a repercussion on the data sets made available and accessible in the INSPIRE infrastructure?



Conformity indicators affecting the data sets made available in my country

- **2 countries** mentioned that “Conformity of services (NSi4, NSi4.1, NS14.2, NSi4.3, NSi4.4)” have an impact on the amount of data sets published
- **1 country** mentioned that both “Conformity of metadata (MDi1.1, MDi1.2)” and “Conformity of services (NSi4, NSi4.1, NS14.2, NSi4.3, NSi4.4)” have an impact on the data sets published.

Break-out sessions (30')

- Room 1 – Alex Kotsev / Room 2 – Stefan Jensen / Room 3 – Joeri Robbrecht
- You will be automatically assigned to a break-out group and will automatically rejoin the main meeting after the session is closed.
- Main topics for discussion
 - Do you have comments or reflections on the back ground document e.g. the problem statement?
 - Do you agree with the outcome of the survey?
 - What would be your preferred technical solution to address the issue?
 - 1. One metadata record in the national CSW describing both "as-is" and INSPIRE harmonize data sets. Available spatial data services would reference this single metadata record.
 - 2. Two metadata records in the national CSW, one for "as-is" and one for INSPIRE harmonized. Available spatial data services would reference the relevant metadata record.
 - 3. Two metadata records in the national CSW, only one is collected with a specific OGC filter during the harvest by the INSPIRE Geoportal.

Thank you



© European Union 2020

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

Slide xx: **element concerned**, source: **e.g. Fotolia.com**; Slide xx: **element concerned**, source: **e.g. iStock.com**

