INSPIRE webinar

28 - 28 Apr 2020

Poll results

Table of contents

- Welcome
- Information topics
- Feedback on the scope of the work area 1 "Towards a common implementation landing zone"
- Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability"
- General chapters and feedback on the scope of work area 3



Welcome (1/3) Where are you joining us from? (1/8)Austria Belgium Bulgaria 0 % Croatia Cyprus 2 %

Welcome (1/3) Where are you joining us from? (2/8)Czech Republic Denmark Estonia Finland 8 % France




Welcome (1/3) Where are you joining us from? (3/8)Germany Greece Hungary 0 % Ireland 2 % Italy

Welcome (1/3) Where are you joining us from? (4/8)Latvia Lithuania Luxembourg Malta 8 % Netherlands 2 %

0 4 9

Where are you joining us from? (5/8)




0 4 9

Where are you joining us from? (6/8)

Spain 4 % Sweden Iceland 0 % Liechtenstein 2 % Norway 2 %

0 4 9

Where are you joining us from? (7/8)



Where are you joining us from? (8/8)

Albania





In these challenging times, we all had to become proficient teleworkers overnight. Have you ever joined a remote meeting... (1/2)

In your Pyjamas

22 %

While having breakfast

42 %

From your bed

11 %

In full business suit

33 %

With a mouth mask



In these challenging times, we all had to become proficient teleworkers overnight. Have you ever joined a remote meeting... (2/2)

With your pet animal



What is your overal feeling about the draft new Work Programme 2020-2024?

Enthousiastic, we are almost there.



9 %

Positive, looking forward to further develop it further on this basis.

Neutral



13 %

I don't like it at all

0 %

I haven't read it yet.



Information topics (1/2)



If it is not possible to have a face to face MIG meeting in June, would you prefer to have a virtual meeting in June or to postpone the meeting till after summer?

Virtual MIG meeting in June

69 %

Face to face MIG meeting after summer

Information topics (2/2)



How do you feel about a virtual INSPIRE conference in June?

(1/2)

This makes me happy, I will attend as much sessions a possible.

39 %

Looking forward to all sessions and plenaries...

9 %

Looking forward to it, specifically to the plenaries.

7 %

Looking forward to it, specifically to the more technical sessions and workshops.

16 %

Looking forward to it, specifically to the more thematic sessions.

Information topics (2/2)



How do you feel about a virtual INSPIRE conference in June? (2/2)

I am not really cold or hot about it. I might attend limited sessions or plenaries.

18 %

I couldn't care less.

Feedback on the scope of the work area 1 "Towards a common implementation landing zone" (1/3)



What goals should working area 1 be working towards after 2020? (1/2)

Further simplification Alignment of implementation approaches between Member States 20 % Avoidance of parallel infrastructures Increased data availability 40 % Improved usability



Feedback on the scope of the work area 1 "Towards a common implementation landing zone" (1/3)



What goals should working area 1 be working towards after 2020? (2/2)

Adaptation to new technologies and standards




Implementation will be completed, i.e. no need for further actions on implementation support

Feedback on the scope of the work area 1 "Towards a common implementation landing zone" (2/3)



What components should the actions after 2020 most focus on?



Feedback on the scope of the work area 1 "Towards a common implementation landing zone" (3/3)



What concrete activities should be prioritised for Area of Work 1 of the MIG Work Programme?

(1/2)

Define maturity levels and landing zone expectations

29 %

Prioritisation methodology and selection of core INSPIRE data sets

49 %

Remove the need for INSPIRE-specific extensions / simplify INSPIRE requirements

56 %

Additional guidance/good practices for emerging standards and technologies (e.g. APIs, encodings)

Feedback on the scope of the work area 1 "Towards a common implementation landing zone" (3/3)



What concrete activities should be prioritised for Area of Work 1 of the MIG Work Programme?

(2/2)

Link national geoportals to the INSPIRE Geoportal

18 %

Maintain & update INSPIRE central components (Geoportal, Validator, Registry)

53 %

Theme specific issues & exchange of implementation experiences in thematic domains

Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability" (1/6)



Is the scope of the work area clear

no - there are too many open issues

13 %

yes - but we need substantial clarification

54 %

yes - but we need some clarification

25 %


yes, rather clear

8 %

Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability" (2/6)



Which building blocks need more information



Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability" (3/6)



Have you got a plan in your country to include future INSPIRE work in your digitisation agenda?

No - not at all 26 %

Yes, but only partially

39 %

Yes, in a way that could fit the EU activities



Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability" (4/6)

0 0 3

Where do your national plans differ from the activities outlined in this work programme?

- More time is needed for this answer. I have to consult my colleagues.
- Ireland is working to improve its position with Inspire compliance
- No swift answer



Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability" (5/6)

0 0 4

Please provide short key recommendations where improvements for work area 2 are most needed.

- Sorry need more time for this.
- Several actions are included/covered by INSPIRE -> need better link/integration - MIG contribution
- Resources and legislation
 assigned to inspire in member
 state
- No swift answer



Feedback on the scope of the work area 2 "A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability" (6/6)



What finds your highest interest in work area 2 of this common work programme?

- Sorry need more time for this.
- Need more time
- It is all interesting but working towards api is of highest interest
- 2020.2.2
- No swift answer



General chapters and feedback on the scope of work area 3 (1/3)



Since the involvement of the MIG in Area of Work 3 (GreenData4All) is limited, it would be better to remove this task from the work programme.

I agree.

28 %

I don't agree. The MIG can still play a role as an advisory body.

60 %

I don't have an opinion.

General chapters and feedback on the scope of work area 3 (2/3)



Should the WP also include other related activities (e.g. Reportnet, Copernicus ...) as recorded in "3.4 Other activities" & the Annex 2?

No, let's stick to the core activities.

33 %

Yes, for information.

60 %

I don't have an opinion.

General chapters and feedback on the scope of work area 3 (3/3)



Stakeholder engagement: should we reach out to other communities and take their concerns and needs into consideration?

No, this is a moving target that will distract us from our own implementation objectives. We should stick to the legal obligations.



Yes, it is essential to build a spatial data infrastructure that is fit for purpose across different domains.

80 %

I don't have an opinion.

