



MIWP Action 2.3.1: Governance of INSPIRE artefacts

JRC INSPIRE Team


74th MIG-T meeting – April 28, 2023

Status update



- Overview of **new change proposals**:
 - Application schemas: [#61](#)
 - Technical Guidance documents: [#72](#), [#77](#)
- Request for additional feedback
- Conversion of TGs to AsciiDoc
- Vote on **new change proposals**

Change proposals to schemas

The following change proposals have been approved by the Sub-group 2.3.1:



The screenshot shows a GitHub issue card with the following details:

- Author ▾ Label ▾ Projects ▾ Milestones ▾ Assignee ▾ Sort ▾
-  **TN-A_Nodes_inherit from TransportPoint instead of TransportNode**  7
- for INSPIRE MIG-T** **further info required** **impact on TG** **impact on validator**
- #61 opened on Feb 23, 2022 by JohannaOtt

<https://github.com/INSPIRE-MIF/application-schemas/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3A%22for+INSPIRE+MIG-T%22>

Change proposal to schemas - #61

- **#61**: TN-A_Nodes_inherit from TransportPoint instead of TransportNode

sMorrone commented 2 weeks ago • edited ▾

Member ⋮

Dear all,
in the context of the END reporting, a [discussion](#) has been posted on the INSPIRE helpdesk about how to provide major airport sources (only point geometry, no transport link information) under INSPIRE TN . It contains the following proposal which is relevant to the issue being discussed here.

The proposal is based on the use the AerodromeNode with 'significantPoint' set to false.
Copy/pasting from the discussion:

The significantPoint is an attribute of the AerodromeNode "which indicates whether the air node is or is not a significant point" i.e., a specified geographical location used to define an ATS route, the flight path of an aircraft or for other navigation/ATS purposes. The AerodromeNode could either simply represent the aerodrome location (**significantPoint = false**) or act as transport node for connectivity (**significantPoint = true**).

Transport links should be mandatory only when significantPoint is set to true.

This option would not require a change in the IR /in the UML model, but only a clarification note in the TG (and a related change in the INSPIRE Validator test).


What do you think? Could this be a viable solution to the 'faced issue' of this change proposal?



The conclusion of the last meetings was: *“support by thematic experts is required for this change proposal”, but a new proposal for a possible solution came in.*

Change proposals to TGs

The following change proposals have been approved by the Sub-group 2.3.1:



The screenshot shows a GitHub Issues page with the following details:

- 2 Open, 0 Closed
- Filters: Author, Label, Projects, Milestones, Assignee, Sort
- Issue 1: **Quality of service codelist URL's incorrect in TG dataset and service metadata for INSPIRE MIG-T** (#77, opened on Nov 30, 2022 by arbakker). It has 1 fork and 2 comments.
- Issue 2: **Metadata contactInfo: onlineResource as alternative to electronicMailAddress for INSPIRE MIG-T** (#72, opened on Sep 13, 2021 by danicgc). It has labels for "impact on IR" and "impact on validator" and 4 comments.

<https://github.com/INSPIRE-MIF/technical-guidelines/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3A%22for+INSPIRE+MIG-T%22+-label%3A%22for+Sub-group%22+>

Change proposal to TGs - #72


- [#72](#) ([#86](#)): Metadata contactInfo: onlineResource as alternative to electronicMailAddress
 - Already discussed in the last meeting
 - Requested MIG-T support in the last meetings → No feedback received
 - New change proposal was opened requesting the same change

Change proposal to TGs - #77

- [#77](#): Quality of service codelist URL's incorrect in TG dataset and service metadata
 - Related issue in the Validator helpdesk ([#880](#)) requesting change in the ATS
 - The right codelist URL was already agreed (issue [#432](#))
 - INSPIRE Registry already shows: <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/metadata-codelist/QualityOfServiceCriteria>

Change proposals to TGs – Additional feedback required

- [#84](#) - GeographicalName: sourceOfName
 - The sub-group decided to bring this change proposal directly to the attention of the MIG-T to ask for feedback.



A screenshot of a Jira issue card for issue #84. The card title is "GeographicalName: sourceOfName for INSPIRE MIG-T". It includes several labels: "for Sub-group", "impact on IR", "impact on schemas", and "impact on UML". The issue was opened on Dec 22, 2022 by bfrichet3. The card also shows a filter menu with options: Author, Label, Projects, Milestones, Assignee, and Sort.

| | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| sourceOfName | Original data source from which the geographical name is taken from and integrated in the data set providing/publishing it. For some named spatial objects it might refer again to the publishing data set if no other information is available. | CharacterString | voidable |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|

Conversion of INSPIRE TGs in AsciiDoc

- The following TGs will be included in the v.2023.2 release (due by July 31st) and will contain the related change proposals endorsed by the MIG before that date.

| | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 11 | Technical Guidance for the implementation of INSPIRE Discovery Services | | | June 2023 |
| 12 | Utility and governmental services | | Yes | " |
| 13 | Species distribution | | Yes | " |
| 14 | Land cover | | Yes | " |
| 15 | Administrative units | | Yes | " |
| 16 | Statistical units | | Yes | " |
| 17 | Orthoimagery | | Yes | " |
| 18 | Geology | Yes | | " |

- Some of them are already available in the [2023.2 branch](#), comments and/or pull requests are more than welcome.

Voting on change proposals

slido

Join at
slido.com
#2766 073



Thank you!



© European Union 2023

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

